

## SLOUGH BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT TO: PLANNING COMMITTEE

DATE: 19<sup>th</sup> June 2012

### PART 1

### FOR INFORMATION

#### Planning Appeal Decisions

*Set out below are summaries of the appeal decisions received recently from the Planning Inspectorate on appeals against the Council's decisions. Copies of the full decision letters are available from the Members Support Section on request. These decisions are also monitored in the Quarterly Performance Report and Annual Review.*

**WARD(S)**

**ALL**

<b>Ref</b>	<b>Appeal</b>	<b>Decision</b>
P/00848/009	105 Wordsworth Road  ERECTION OF A DETACHED ONE BEDROOM BUNGALOW	Appeal Dismissed  14 <sup>th</sup> May 2012
P/01929/002	110 Belgrave Road  ERECTION OF A TWO STOREY REAR EXTENSION WITH A PITCHED ROOF  Reasons for refusal:  The width and depth of the proposed two storey rear extension is not subordinate to or in proportion with the original house. The proposal would therefore be unacceptably harmful to the character and appearance of 110 Belgrave Road and the locality in general. As such the proposal is contrary to Policies H15, EN1 and EN2 of The Adopted Local Plan for Slough 2004; Core Policies 1 and 8 of The Slough Local Development Framework, Core Strategy 2006 - 2026, Development Plan Document, December 2008; the Slough Local Development Framework, Residential Extensions Guidelines, Supplementary Planning Document adopted January 2010; and PPS1.  The width and depth of the proposed two storey rear extension in close proximity to the shared boundary with 112 Belgrave Road would have an unacceptable overbearing impact on this neighbouring property. As such the proposal is contrary to Policies H15, EN1 and EN2 of The Adopted Local Plan for Slough 2004; Core Policies 1 and 8 of The Slough Local Development Framework, Core Strategy 2006 - 2026, Development Plan Document, December 2008; Slough Local Development Framework, Residential Extensions Guidelines, Supplementary Planning Document adopted January 2010; and	Appeal Allowed subject to conditions  29 <sup>th</sup> May 2012

	<p>PPS1.</p> <p>The Inspector concluded that the proposed extension would only have a very limited impact on the streetscene given its location and setback from the frontage of the properties, combined with the fact that its style and materials would match the existing building.</p> <p>The Inspector also concluded that the proposed extension would not have an unacceptably overbearing effect on the adjoining property at 112 Belgrave Road.</p>	